

25 YEARS AFTER 9/11:

Pakistan's Counter-Terrorism Experience, Challenges & the Way Forward

Sanober Institute – Centre for International Peace &
Stability (CIPS), Seminar 2026



Table of Content

Introduction-----	02
Summary-----	05
Key Takeaways -----	07
Conclusion -----	09

I INTRODUCTION

The attacks of 11 September 2001 marked a defining moment in international politics and security, fundamentally altering the global approach to terrorism, militancy, conflict, and counterterrorism. The emergence of transnational terrorist networks as a major security challenge led states and international institutions to adopt new security frameworks, strengthen counterterrorism mechanisms, and undertake military, political, diplomatic, and intelligence efforts across different regions. Over the past twenty-five years, the global response to terrorism has significantly shaped international political and security dynamics, while also raising important questions about the

effectiveness, costs, and long-term consequences of counterterrorism strategies.

Pakistan occupied a particularly significant position in this evolving security environment. As a frontline state in the global campaign against terrorism, Pakistan experienced the consequences of the post-9/11 conflict directly. The country faced substantial human and economic costs, terrorist attacks, displacement, damage to infrastructure, and prolonged security challenges. Pakistan's armed forces, law enforcement agencies, diplomatic institutions, and wider society remained engaged in confronting an evolving threat environment. The nature of the challenge also changed over time, from the threats associated with Al-Qaeda and the Taliban in the early post-9/11



period to the emergence of groups such as the Tehrik-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), Islamic State, Balochistan Liberation Army (BLA), and other militant and terrorist organisations.

The twenty-five-year experience has also demonstrated that counterterrorism cannot be understood solely through the lens of military operations. The evolving character of asymmetric warfare has required greater emphasis on intelligence, inter-agency coordination, special operations, financial and information dimensions, and broader whole-of-government responses. At the same time, the experience of Afghanistan and the wider regional security environment has raised questions concerning the relationship between military action, political objectives, diplomatic engagement, and sustainable outcomes.

Against this backdrop, the Sanober Institute in collaboration with Centre for International Peace & Stability (CIPS) – NUST convened a panel discussion to reflect on twenty-five years of 9/11 and Pakistan's counterterrorism experience, challenges, and the way forward. The discussion brought together diplomats, policymakers, military practitioners, security experts, scholars, researchers, and other stakeholders to examine Pakistan's role in the global counterterrorism campaign and assess the lessons emerging from the past twenty-five years.



The discussion was moderated by Dr Qamar Cheema, Executive Director, Sanober Institute. Other speakers included Ambassador Aizaz Ahmed Chaudhry, Chairman, Sanober Institute and former Foreign Secretary of Pakistan; Senator Anwaar-ul-Haq Kakar, former Caretaker Prime Minister of Pakistan; Major General (Retd.) Zahid Mahmood, Principal, NIPCONS-NUST and Defence Analyst; and Muhammad Amir Rana, Director, Pak Institute for Peace Studies (PIPS).

The discussion provided an opportunity to review Pakistan's counterterrorism experience across its diplomatic, political, operational, intelligence, and national security dimensions. It highlighted the importance of drawing lessons from the past twenty-five years while recognising that the security environment continues to evolve. The discussion therefore sought not only to reflect on the legacy of 9/11 but also to examine the challenges confronting Pakistan today and the policy considerations that may shape its response to emerging threats.



SUMMARY

- The discussion examined twenty-five years of counterterrorism experience following the attacks of 11 September 2001, with particular attention to the changing nature of terrorism, insurgency, and asymmetric warfare.
- The post-9/11 period transformed the international security environment, placing transnational terrorist networks and non-state actors at the centre of global security concerns.
- Pakistan emerged as a frontline state in the global counterterrorism campaign and experienced significant human, economic, political, and social costs as violence spread across the region.
- Pakistan's security challenge evolved over time, with Al-Qaeda and the Taliban being joined by groups such as TTP, ISIS, BLA, and other militant organisations with distinct motivations, structures, and operational methods.
- The changing threat environment has required greater emphasis on intelligence, inter-agency coordination, information sharing, and specialised responses to different forms of political violence.
- The discussion emphasised that military operations can disrupt terrorist networks and



produce important tactical gains, but sustainable security also requires political engagement, effective governance, law enforcement, and institutional coordination.

- The experience of Afghanistan demonstrated the limitations of military and technological superiority when not supported by clear political objectives, local ownership, sustainable institutions, and a credible strategy for ending conflict.
- Accurate threat perception remains essential. Terrorism, insurgency, organised crime, and other forms of violence have distinct characteristics and require context-specific responses rather than uniform policy frameworks.
- Counterterrorism strategies must also consider ideological narratives, political grievances, social divisions, and recruitment structures that can contribute to the persistence of violent movements.
- Developments in Afghanistan and the wider region continue to have implications for Pakistan's security environment, highlighting the importance of regional cooperation and sustained international engagement.
- The post-9/11 experience also raised broader questions about the use of force, sovereignty, territorial integrity, multilateralism, and the role of international

institutions in addressing security challenges.

- Pakistan's experience highlights the need for a comprehensive national strategy that combines intelligence, military preparedness, diplomacy, governance, law enforcement, strategic communication, economic resilience, political engagement, and social cohesion.
- The experience of the past twenty-five years ultimately demonstrates that lasting security requires strategic continuity, strong institutions, national ownership, and the ability to adapt to changing forms of conflict and instability.



KEY TAKEAWAYS

Transformation of the Global Security Environment

The post-9/11 period fundamentally transformed the global security environment, bringing terrorism, non-state actors, and asymmetric warfare to the centre of international security debates.

Pakistan's Experience as a Frontline State

Pakistan's engagement in the global counterterrorism campaign had significant security, economic, and social consequences, with prolonged violence affecting communities, institutions, and critical infrastructure.

Evolution of the Terrorist Threat

The terrorist threat evolved from the early focus on Al-Qaeda and the Taliban to a wider range of militant organisations and networks, requiring continuous adaptation in counterterrorism policy and strategy.

Intelligence as a Core Counterterrorism Capability

Effective counterterrorism depends on timely intelligence, analytical capacity, and coordination among intelligence, security, and law enforcement institutions to identify threats and disrupt attacks.

Beyond a Military Response

Military force can disrupt terrorist and insurgent networks, but sustainable security also requires political engagement, effective governance, law enforcement, economic resilience, and measures addressing social and ideological factors.

Accurate Threat Perception

Different forms of violence require different responses. Distinguishing between terrorism, insurgency, organised crime, and other forms of insecurity is essential for developing appropriate and context-specific policies.

Whole-of-Government and National Approach

Counterterrorism requires coordination among political institutions, security agencies, intelligence organisations, law enforcement bodies, and civilian authorities. A comprehensive national strategy is necessary to ensure coherence and continuity.

Lessons from Afghanistan

The post-9/11 experience in Afghanistan demonstrated that military and technological superiority alone cannot guarantee a sustainable political outcome. Clear objectives, local ownership, political strategy, and an achievable end state are essential.

Political Strategy and National Ownership

Security operations need to remain connected to broader political objectives. Sustainable outcomes require national ownership, institutional legitimacy, and policies capable of addressing the underlying drivers of prolonged instability.

Ideology, Narratives and Social Resilience

Counterterrorism also requires attention to ideological narratives, recruitment structures, political grievances, and social divisions. Strengthening societal resilience is therefore an important component of long-term security.

Regional and International Dimensions of Security

Terrorism and militancy are influenced by developments beyond national borders.

Regional instability, cross-border networks, and changing geopolitical dynamics underline the importance of regional cooperation and sustained international engagement.

From Tactical Success to Sustainable Security

The experience of the past twenty-five years highlights the need to translate operational gains into durable security through strategic continuity, institutional learning, effective governance, political solutions, and the capacity to adapt to emerging threats.



CONCLUSION

The post-9/11 security environment has undergone a profound transformation, reshaping the nature of terrorism, conflict, and national security. What began as a global campaign against transnational terrorism evolved into a more complex security landscape involving non-state actors, insurgencies, ideological movements, asymmetric warfare, and regional instability. For Pakistan, this transformation had far-reaching consequences, making counterterrorism a sustained national security challenge.

The experience of the past twenty-five years demonstrates that terrorism and insurgency cannot be addressed through military means alone. Intelligence-led operations, technological capabilities, and security measures remain important for disrupting militant networks, but durable security also requires political engagement, effective governance, institutional coordination, and policies that address the wider conditions contributing to instability. Accurate threat perception and context-specific responses are equally important as the nature of violence continues to evolve.

The changing character of terrorism has also increased the importance of intelligence, information, and institutional coordination. Terrorist organisations have adapted their methods, networks, and narratives, requiring security institutions to strengthen intelligence collection, analysis, information sharing, and inter-agency cooperation. At the same time, addressing ideological narratives, recruitment structures, and social vulnerabilities remains relevant to building long-term resilience.

